arXiv:1404.1432v3 [math.DG] 23 Dec 2015

FIRST VARIATION OF THE HAUSDORFF MEASURE OF NON-HORIZONTAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN SUB-RIEMANNIAN STRATIFIED LIE GROUPS

MARCOS M. DINIZ[1], MARIA R. B. SANTOS[2], AND JOSÉ M. M. VELOSO[3]

Abstract. We determine necessary conditions for a non-horizontal submanifold of a sub-Riemannian stratified Lie group to be of minimal measure. We calculate the first variation of the measure for a non-horizontal submanifold and find that the minimality condition implies the tensor equation $H + \sigma = 0$, where H is analogous to the mean curvature and σ is the *mean torsion*. We also discuss new examples of minimal non-horizontal submanifolds in the Heisenberg group, in particular surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2$.

1. Introduction

The last years have seen a generalization of Riemannian geometry to sub-Riemannian geometry [2, 4, 11, 20, 21]. A sub-Riemannian manifold is a connected manifold G with a distribution $D \subset TG$ such that successive Lie brackets fields in D generate all the tangent space TG. In addition, a positive definite scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined at D such that it is possible to calculate the length of admissible curves, i.e., the tangent curves on D. As in Riemannian geometry, the distance ρ between two points p and q in G is defined as the infimum length of admissible curves that connect the points p and q. By means of this distance, the sub-Riemannian manifold becomes a metric space [1] and can be endowed with a Hausdorff measure. Also, there is an equivalent of the Riemannian volume, the so called Popp's volume. Introduced in [11], it is a smooth volume which is canonically associated with the sub-Riemannian structure and was used in [2] to define the sub-Laplacian in sub-Riemannian geometry.

On sub-Riemannian manifolds which are nilpotent Lie groups (stratified Lie groups) the spherical Hausdorff measure, the Popp's measure and the Haar measure are mutually constant multiples one of another [3, 6, 7, 8, 16]. Then, it is natural to ask what means a submanifold of a stratified Lie group to be of minimal measure.

The aim of this paper is, using the measure proposed by Magnani and Vittone for non-horizontal submanifolds in stratified Lie groups [23], to calculate the first variation of these submanifolds and determine the necessary conditions for a non-horizontal submanifold to be of minimal measure. We will also give new examples of non-horizontal minimal submanifolds in the Heisenberg group, in particular minimal surfaces in 5-dimensional Heisenberg group . In order to present the main

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C17, 22E25, 28A75; Secondary 49Q15.

Key words and phrases. First variation, spherical Hausdorff measure, non-horizontal submanifolds, stratified Lie groups, Heisenberg group, minimal measure.

[1] Partially supported by CAPES-BR.

[2] Partially supported by CAPES-BR.

[3] Partially supported by CAPES-BR.

results, we briefly introduce some concepts which will be dealt with in detail in the subsequent sections.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a stratified Lie group with a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^r$ with $r \geq 1$. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}^1$, we can extend it to $\mathfrak{g}$ by induction (see Proposition 1). We also consider the distribution $D \subset T\mathbb{G}$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}^1$ and the scalar product in D generated by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. This way, $(\mathbb{G}, D, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ becomes a sub-Riemannian manifold, also called the Carnot group. Note that, traditionally the scalar product on D is extended to $T\mathbb{G}$ and the Riemannian connection on $T\mathbb{G}$ is used to make calculations on $\mathbb{G}$. This observation will be our starting point. We shall work with a covariant derivative $\overline{\nabla}$ defined by $\overline{\nabla} X = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. It has intrinsic torsion which is essentially the negative of the Lie bracket in $\mathbb{G}$ and the zero curvature tensor. So, this covariant derivative permits us to establish an interesting parallel between the invariants of submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the invariants of submanifolds in $\mathbb{G}$.

The geometry of a submanifold M of $\mathbb{G}$ at each point depends on the relative position of TM and D. The submanifolds with a "high" contact with D at one point may have singularities from the metric point of view, even though being a C^∞ submanifold. In this paper, we avoid these situations by considering a non-horizontal submanifold M transverse to D, i.e., $TM + D = T\mathbb{G}$. For this submanifold the horizontal normal subspace $TM^\perp$ play the same role as the normal space does to a submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define $TM^\perp$ as the orthogonal subspace to $TM \cap D$ in D, i.e., the horizontal distribution $D = (TM \cap D) \oplus TM^\perp$. Hence, $T\mathbb{G} = TM \oplus TM^\perp$ and we can use this decomposition (in general not orthogonal!) to project $\overline{\nabla}$ to a connection ∇ over TM.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with its dual $e^1, \ldots, e^n$. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be a adapted frame in the $T\mathbb{G}$ such that $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ are orthogonal to $TM \cap D$ and $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_{d_1}$ is a orthonormal basis of $TM \cap D$ in D. We complete $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_{d_1}$ to a basis $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_n$ of TM taking $f_j = e_j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} A_j^\alpha f_\alpha$, for $j = d_1 + 1, \ldots, n$. If we denote by $f^1, \ldots, f^n$ its dual basis, then the sub-Riemannian volume form on $\mathbb{G}$ is defined as $\mathrm{d}V = e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n = f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n$. When M is a hypersurface, the H-perimeter measure is traditionally used [9, 10, 12, 15]. For the non-horizontal submanifolds of codimension $p \geq 1$, the spherical Hausdorff measure has the following representation proved in [23]:

$$\int_M \theta(\tau_M^d(x)) \mathrm{d}S_\rho^d(x) = \int_M |\tau_M^d(x)| \mathrm{dvol}_h(x) \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where h is a fixed Riemannian metric, d is the Hausdorff dimension of M, $\theta(\tau_M^d(x))$ is the metric factor (see Section 4.1), S_ρ^d is the d-spherical Hausdorff measure and dvol_h is the Riemannian volume form on M induced by $(\mathbb{G}, h)$.

We will denote by μ the measure in non-horizontal submanifolds defined by $\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = |\tau_M^d(x)| \mathrm{dvol}_h(x)$, which is a natural candidate to define the volume for non-horizontal submanifolds. If the metric factor $\theta(\tau_M^d(x))$ is constant on M (the case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$, see Section 4.1) the measure $\mathrm{d}\mu(x)$ is a multiple of $(Q-p)$-spherical Hausdorff measure on M. Writing the density $\mathrm{d}\mu$ in the adapted frame $f^1, \ldots, f^n$ we obtain a beautiful formula which we will use for the variational calculation, namely $\mathrm{d}\mu = f^{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n$ (see Theorem 2).

The second result of this paper (Theorem 4) is a sufficient condition for minimality of non-horizontal submanifolds. We say that a non-horizontal submanifold

is minimal if $H+\sigma=0$ on $TM^{\perp}$, where H is the mean curvature (Definition 3) and σ is the mean torsion (Definition 4). In the case of hypersurfaces, the mean torsion is null and so the definition of minimality is the same as in [9, 10, 12, 15, 17, 18] and also of minimal submanifolds of Riemannian geometry.

As a direct application of Theorem 4 we present the following example: if M is minimal submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, then $N=M\times\mathbb{R}$ is minimal submanifold of $\mathbb{H}^n$. Furthermore, an interesting application of this theorem is discussed in section 6, where we find minimal non-horizontal surfaces in the 5-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^2$. Observe that in the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ the tangent horizontal curves to minimal surfaces are lines and hence this surfaces are ruled surfaces [14]. Now, for $\mathbb{H}^2$ the tangent horizontal curves to minimal surfaces can be more general. In section 6, we will present two cases: the curves are lines and we obtain ruled surfaces; the curves are circles and we obtain a family of circles, which we will call tubular surfaces.

In the last section, we prove for non-horizontal hypersurfaces the following: $-H_{f_1}=\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}}\Big(\frac{\operatorname{grad}_D\phi}{|\operatorname{grad}_D\phi|}\Big)$, where $\phi:\mathbb{G}\to\mathbb{R}$ is smooth function, $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}}$ is the divergence function on $\mathbb{G}$ and grad_D is the horizontal gradient operator. With this formula we give a proof that the hyperboloid paraboloid is minimal.

2. Stratified Lie groups

A stratified Lie group $\mathbb{G}$ is an n-dimensional connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^1\oplus\mathfrak{g}^2\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{g}^r$ and satisfies the condition $[\mathfrak{g}^1,\mathfrak{g}^j]=\mathfrak{g}^{j+1}, j=1,\dots,r-1,\quad [\mathfrak{g}^j,\mathfrak{g}^r]=0, j=1,\dots,r$. Write $d_i=\dim\mathfrak{g}^i$, choose a basis $e_1,\dots,e_n$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $e_{d_{j-1}+1},\dots,e_{d_j}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^j$ and denote its dual basis by $e^1,\dots,e^n$. Then, we define the *degree* of e_k as $\deg k=j$ if $d_{j-1}<k\le d_j$.

We also define an covariant derivative $\overline{\nabla}$ on $T\mathbb{G}$ by

$$\overline{\nabla}e_j=0$$

for every $j=1,\dots,n$. Clearly, the curvature $\overline{K}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ is null. If $\overline{T}$ is the torsion of $\overline{\nabla}$, then $\overline{T}(e_i,e_j)=-[e_i,e_j]=-\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_k$ or

$$\overline{T}=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n}c_{ij}^k e^i\wedge e^j\otimes e_k,$$

where c_{ij}^k are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with $e_1,\dots,e_n$.

The stratification hypothesis on $\mathfrak{g}$ implies that $c_{ij}^k=0$ if $\deg k\neq\deg i+\deg j$. In particular, $c_{ij}^k=0$ for $k=1,\dots,d_1$. We can then write

$$\overline{T}=\sum_{k=d_1+1}^{n}\overline{T}^k\otimes e_k,\ \text{ where }\ \overline{T}^k=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}c_{ij}^k e^i\wedge e^j\,.$$

Let $D\subset T\mathbb{G}$ be defined by $D_x=\{X(x)\,|\,X\in\mathfrak{g}^1\}$. Consider a positive definite scalar product $\langle\,,\rangle$ on D such that $e_1,...,e_{d_1}$ are orthonormal. With this scalar product, $(\mathbb{G},D,\langle\,,\rangle)$ becomes a *sub-Riemannian manifold*.

Proposition 1. *There is a canonical extension of $\langle\,,\rangle$ on D to a scalar product on $T\mathbb{G}$.*

Proof. The proof goes by induction. Suppose that we have extended $\langle , \rangle$ to a scalar product of $\mathfrak{g}^k$. Then we have a scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^k$, and we can consider the map $B : \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ defined by $B(X \otimes Y) = [X, Y]$. The scalar products on both $\mathfrak{g}^1$ and $\mathfrak{g}^k$ induce a scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^k$. Thus, $B : (\ker B)^\perp \to \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ is an isomorphism, by which we can transport the scalar product of $(\ker B)^\perp$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$. □

We shall use the same symbol $\langle , \rangle$ for the extended scalar product. Therefore, from the definition of $\overline{\nabla}$ and Proposition 1, we get for every $X, Y \in T\mathbb{G}$ that

$$\overline{\nabla} \langle X, Y \rangle = \left\langle \overline{\nabla} X, Y \right\rangle + \left\langle X, \overline{\nabla} Y \right\rangle .$$

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a graded automorphism of Lie algebras such that $f|_{\mathfrak{g}^1} : \mathfrak{g}^1 \to \mathfrak{g}^1$ is an isometry. Then f is an isometry of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. The proof goes by induction. We will suppose that we have shown that $f : \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^k$ is an isometry. Consider $f \otimes f : \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^k$ defined by $f \otimes f(x \otimes Y) = f(x) \otimes f(Y)$. Then, $f \otimes f$ is an isometry, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \otimes f(x \otimes Y), f \otimes f(x' \otimes Y') \rangle =& \langle fx, fx' \rangle \langle fY, fY' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle \langle Y, Y' \rangle \\ =& \langle x \otimes Y, x' \otimes Y' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As before, let $B : \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^k \to \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ be defined by $B(x \otimes Y) = [x, Y]$. Then

$$B(f \otimes f(x \otimes Y)) = B(f(x) \otimes f(Y)) = [fx, fY] = f[x, Y] = f(B(x \otimes Y)).$$

Therefore, $f \otimes f(\ker B) = \ker B$ and $f \otimes f((\ker B)^\perp) = (\ker B)^\perp$.

Take $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$. Then, $Z = B(u)$, $Z' = B(u')$, where $u, u' \in (\ker B)^\perp$. Note that $f \otimes f(u), f \otimes f(u') \in (\ker B)^\perp$ and B, restricted to this subspace, is an isometry. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle fZ, fZ' \rangle =& \langle B(f \otimes f(u)), B(f \otimes f(u')) = \langle f \otimes f(u), f \otimes f(u') \rangle \rangle = \langle u, u' \rangle \\ =& \langle B(u), B(u') \rangle = \langle Z, Z' \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Non-horizontal submanifolds

Consider a stratified Lie group $\mathbb{G}$. A submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{G}$ of codimension p is *non-horizontal* if $TM + D = T\mathbb{G}$. We then have that $TM \cap D$ is a subvector bundle with $\dim TM \cap D = d_1 - p$.

Let U be an open neighborhood of $\mathbb{G}$ such that $M \cap U \neq \emptyset$. An adapted basis to M on U is a basis $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ on U such that

$$f_j = \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} a_j^k e_k, \; j = 1, \cdots, d_1,$$

is an orthonormal basis of D with $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ orthogonal to $TM \cap D$ on D and $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_{d_1}$ is a basis of $TM \cap D$. It follows that the matrix $(a_j^k)_{1 \leq j,k \leq d_1}$ is orthogonal. We complete $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_{d_1}$ to a basis $f_{p+1}, \ldots, f_n$ of U by

$$f_j = e_j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} A_j^\alpha f_\alpha, \; j = d_1 + 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.1}$$

such that f_j is tangent to M on U.

Now, let $i,j=1,\dots,n$ and let $\overline{\omega}_j^i$ be the connection 1-forms defined in terms of the basis f_i. Then

$$\overline{\nabla} f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \overline{\omega}_j^i \otimes f_i, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\omega}_j^i &= \sum_{k=1}^{d_1} a_i^k \mathrm{d}a_j^k, i,j=1,\dots,d_1,\\
\overline{\omega}_j^\alpha &= -\mathrm{d}A_j^\alpha - \sum_{\beta=1}^{p} A_j^\beta \overline{\omega}_\beta^\alpha, \alpha=1,\cdots,p, j=d_1+1,\dots,n,\\
\overline{\omega}_j^i &= -\sum_{\beta=1}^{p} A_j^\beta \overline{\omega}_\beta^i, i=p+1,\dots,d_1, j=d_1+1,\dots,n.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. (**Cartan structural equations**) *The* 1*-forms* f^i *and* $\overline{\omega}_j^i$ *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}f^\alpha &= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}_j^\alpha \wedge f^j + \tilde{T}^\alpha,\ \alpha=1,\dots,p,\\
\mathrm{d}f^i &= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{\omega}_j^i \wedge f^j,\ i=p+1,\dots,d_1,\\
\mathrm{d}f^j &= \overline{T}^j,\ j=d_1+1,\dots,n,\\
\mathrm{d}\overline{\omega}_i^k &= -\sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \overline{\omega}_j^k \wedge \overline{\omega}_i^j,\ k=1,\dots,d_1,\ i=1,\dots,n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{T}^\alpha = \sum_{k=d_1+1}^{n} A_k^\alpha \overline{T}^k, \alpha=1,\dots,p.$

Proof. These identities follow directly from the definition of the adapted basis to M. □

3.1. **The second fundamental form and the Weingarten operator.** We denote by $TM^\perp$ the subvector bundle of $T\mathbb{G}$ on M generated by $f_1,\dots,f_p$. Then $TM^\perp$ is orthogonal to $TM\cap D$ on D and $T\mathbb{G} = TM^\perp \oplus TM$ (in general non-orthogonal!). If $v\in T_p\mathbb{G}$, $p\in M$, we write $v=v^\top+v^\perp$, where $v^\top\in TM$ and $v^\perp\in TM^\perp$. Therefore

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\top + (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp \text{ and } \overline{T}(X,Y) = \overline{T}^\top(X,Y) + \overline{T}^\perp(X,Y)$$

for every $X,Y\in TM$.

We define the covariant derivative on TM by $\nabla_X Y = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\top$, $\forall\, X,Y\in TM$.

Definition 1. *Let* $S: TM\times TM\to TM^\perp$ *be the bilinear form defined by*

$$S(X,Y) = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y)^\perp.$$

We say that S *is the* second fundamental form *associated to* M.

Moreover, given $\xi\in TM^\perp$ and $X\in TM$ we write $\overline{\nabla}_X\xi = -A_\xi(X)+\nabla^\perp_X\xi$, where

$$A_\xi(X) = -(\overline{\nabla}_X\xi)^\top \in TM \text{ and } \nabla^\perp_X\xi = (\overline{\nabla}_X\xi)^\perp \in TM^\perp.$$

Definition 2. *Let $A : TM \times TM^{\perp} \to TM$ be the bilinear form defined by*

$$A_{\xi}(X) = -(\overline{\nabla}_X \xi)^{\top}.$$

We say that A is the Weingarten operator associated to M.

Observe that $A_{\xi} : TM \to TM \cap D$, since D is invariant under $\overline{\nabla}$. Also, we have that $\nabla^{\perp} : \xi \in TM^{\perp} \to \nabla^{\perp}\xi \in (TM)^* \otimes TM^{\perp}$ is a linear connection on $TM^{\perp}$, where $(TM)^*$ is the dual space of TM.

Remark 1. *The second fundamental form is generally not symmetric, i.e., for every $X, Y \in TM$, $S(X,Y) - S(Y,X) = (\overline{\nabla}_X Y - \overline{\nabla}_Y X - [X,Y])^{\perp} = \overline{T}^{\perp}(X,Y)$.*

Let us introduce $P : TM \times TM^{\perp} \to \mathbb{R}$ as $P(X,\xi) = \langle X, \xi \rangle$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\nabla}_X P(Y,\xi) =& X(P(Y,\xi)) - P(\nabla_X Y, \xi) - P(Y, \nabla^{\perp}_X \xi)\\ =& X\langle Y,\xi\rangle - \langle \nabla_X Y, \xi\rangle - \langle Y, \nabla^{\perp}_X \xi\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4. *For every $X, Y \in TM$ and $\xi \in TM^{\perp}$, we have that*

$$\langle S(X,Y), \xi\rangle = \widetilde{\nabla}_X P(Y,\xi) + \langle A_{\xi}(X), Y\rangle .$$

In particular, if $Y \in TM \cap D$, then $\langle S(X,Y),\xi\rangle = \langle A_{\xi}(X), Y\rangle$.

Proof. The proof follows by Definition 1 and by compatibility of $\overline{\nabla}$ with the extended scalar product $\langle , \rangle$. Clearly, $\widetilde{\nabla}_X P(Y,\xi) = 0, \forall\, Y \in TM \cap D$. □

Let $K^{\perp}$ be the curvature of $\nabla^{\perp}$, i.e., $K^{\perp}(X,Y)\xi = \nabla^{\perp}_X \nabla^{\perp}_Y \xi - \nabla^{\perp}_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla^{\perp}_{[X,Y]}\xi$ and let us define

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X S(Y,Z) = \nabla^{\perp}_X (S(Y,Z)) - S(\nabla_X Y, Z) - S(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

We then obtain a sub-Riemannian version of the fundamental equations.

Theorem 1. *The curvature K of ∇, the curvature $K^{\perp}$ of $\nabla^{\perp}$, the second fundamental form S and the Weingarten operator A satisfy*

1. *(Gauss equation) $K(X,Y)Z = A_{S(Y,Z)}(X) - A_{S(X,Z)}(Y)$;*
2. *(Codazzi equation) $\widetilde{\nabla}_Y S(X,Z) - \widetilde{\nabla}_X S(Y,Z) - S(T(X,Y),Z) = 0$;*
3. *(Ricci equation) $K^{\perp}(X,Y)\xi = S(X, A_{\xi}Y) - S(Y, A_{\xi}X)$,*

where T is the torsion of ∇.

In coordinates, we have the following. Taking the tangential component and the horizontal orthogonal component of (3.2) we obtain, respectively

$$S(X,Y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\sum_{j=p+1}^{n} f^j(Y)\overline{\omega}^{\alpha}_j(X) f_{\alpha} \text{ and } A_{f_{\alpha}}(X) = -\sum_{i=p+1}^{d_1} \overline{\omega}^{i}_{\alpha}(X) f_i. \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore, $\nabla^{\perp}_X f_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{p} \overline{\omega}^{\beta}_{\alpha}(X) f_{\beta}$.

We end this section with two definitions, the first of which being well known.

Definition 3. *The* mean curvature *of M is the tensor $H : TM^{\perp} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H_{\xi} = -\text{trace } A_{\xi}$.*

Definition 4. *The* mean torsion *of M is the tensor $\sigma : TM^{\perp} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$\sigma_{\xi} = \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n} f^j(\overline{T}(\xi, f_j)).$$

4. The μ-measure of non-horizontal submanifolds

In this section, using the adapted basis to non-horizontal submanifold M, we will give a new expression for the density of the measure μ on M. When $\mathbb{G}$ is the Heisenberg group, this measure is a constant multiple of the spherical Hausdorff measure. In the general case the density of the spherical Hausdorff measure on M is a multiple of μ by a function. This function depends on the position of TM in relation to the distribution D.

Following [23], let $\tau_M(x)$ be a unit $(n-p)$-tangent vector of M at x with respect to the extended metric $h := \langle , \rangle$ at $\mathbb{G}$. We define $\tau_M^d(x)$ as the part of $\tau_M(x)$ with maximum degree $d = Q - p$, where Q is the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathbb{G}$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_p$ be a basis of sections of the orthogonal space $TM^{\perp_h}$ which is orthonormal with respect to h, i.e., $\langle v_i, TM\rangle = 0$, and $v = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p$ is the unit p-form of the orthogonal space $TM^{\perp_h}$. We consider the measure μ on M with density

$$\mathrm{d}\mu = |\tau_M^d| \mathrm{vol}_h \llcorner M, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathrm{vol}_h \llcorner M := (v \lrcorner \mathrm{dvol}_h)|_M$, the symbol $\lrcorner$ denotes the contraction (or interior product) of a differential form with a vector, $\mathrm{dvol}_h = e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n = f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n$ is the density of the spherical Hausdorff measure on $\mathbb{G}$ as a sub-Riemannian metric space, and $|\cdot|$ is the norm induced by the fixed Riemannian metric h. Then

$$\mathrm{d}\mu = |\tau_M^d| v \lrcorner \mathrm{dvol}_h = |\tau_M^d| v \lrcorner f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n.$$

Theorem 2.

$$\mathrm{d}\mu = f^{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. First, we denote

$$b_{jk} = \langle f_j, f_k \rangle = \delta_{jk} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} A_j^\alpha A_k^\alpha.$$

Observe that $\langle f_\alpha, f_k \rangle = -A_k^\alpha$ for $j, k = d_1 + 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$. If $\mathbf{B}$ is the $(n - d_1)$-square matrix $\mathbf{B} = (b_{jk})$, $\mathbf{A}$ is the $(n - d_1) \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A^1_{d_1+1} & A^2_{d_1+1} & \cdots & A^p_{d_1+1} \\ A^1_{d_1+2} & A^2_{d_1+2} & \cdots & A^p_{d_1+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A^1_n & A^2_n & \cdots & A^p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathbf{I}_{n-d_1}$ is the $(n - d_1)$-identity matrix, we obtain

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}_{n-d_1} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T.$$

Let us now calculate v. To do this, we project $f_1, \dots, f_p$ on $TM^{\perp_h}$. These are

$$w_\alpha := f_\alpha + \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n} x_\alpha^j f_j,$$

where we choose x_α^j such that $\langle w_\alpha, f_j \rangle = 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$, $j = p + 1, \dots, n$. In particular,

$$\sum_{k=d_1+1}^{n} x_\alpha^k b_{jk} = A_j^\alpha. \tag{4.3}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, p$ and $j = d_1 + 1, \ldots, n$. Thus the vectors w_α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, p$, are linearly independent and orthogonal to TM. Hence, take

$$v = \frac{1}{|w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p|} w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p.$$

Observe that $|w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p| = |\det \mathbf{W}|$, where $\mathbf{W} = (W_{\alpha\beta})$ is a square p-matrix with

$$W_{\alpha\beta} = \langle w_\alpha, w_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n} x_\beta^j A_j^\alpha.$$

If c_{kj} are the entries of the inverse matrix of $\mathbf{B}$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$x_\alpha^j = \sum_{k=d_1+1}^{n} c_{kj} A_k^\alpha, \tag{4.4}$$

and therefore $W_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n} A_j^\alpha c_{jk} A_k^\beta$, or $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}_p - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}$. So,

$$v \lrcorner \mathrm{dvol}_h = \frac{w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p}{|w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p|} \lrcorner f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n = \frac{1}{|\det \mathbf{W}|} f^{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n,$$

because $f^\alpha = 0$ on M for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, p$.

Let us now find τ_M^d. First

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_M &= |f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n|^{-1} f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n = |f_{d_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n|^{-1} f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \\ &= |\det \mathbf{B}|^{-1} f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{d_1} \wedge (e_{d_1+1} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} A_{d_1+1}^\alpha f_\alpha) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_n - \sum_{\omega=1}^{p} A_n^\omega f_\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\tau_M^d = |\det \mathbf{B}|^{-1} f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{d_1} \wedge e_{d_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, \tag{4.5}$$

where $d = d_1 - p + 2d_2 + \ldots + l d_l = Q - p$. It follows that $|\tau_M^d| = |\det \mathbf{B}|^{-1}$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}\mu = |\det \mathbf{B}|^{-1} |\det \mathbf{W}|^{-1} f^{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n \,.$$

To finish the proof, we must show that $|\det \mathbf{B}||\det \mathbf{W}| = 1$. To do this, we need only apply the matrix determinant lemma (see for instance [5]). Taking determinants of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} & 0 \\ \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}^T \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $\det(\mathbf{B}) \det(\mathbf{W}) = 1$. □

4.1. **The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$.** We now will give a proof that the Heisenberg group has constant metric factor.

According to [23], the metric factor $\theta(\tau)$ associated with a simple s-vector τ of $\wedge_s \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$\theta(\tau) = \mathcal{H}^s_{|\cdot|}(F^{-1}(\exp(\mathcal{L}(\tau)) \cap B_1)), \tag{4.6}$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{G}$ is a system of graded coordinates with respect to an adapted orthonormal basis $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $\mathcal{H}^s_{|\cdot|}$ is the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure with respect to the Euclidean norm of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ is the unique subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to τ and B_1 is the open unit ball centered at e with respect to a fixed homogeneous distance.

We now consider $\mathfrak{h}$ to be the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ generated by $e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}$, e_{2n+1} with $[e_i, e_{i+n}] = e_{2n+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and all the other brackets

equal to 0. We have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^2$, where $\mathfrak{h}^1$ is generated by $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^2$ by e_{2n+1}. Take a scalar product on $\mathfrak{h}^1$ such that $e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$ is an orthonormal basis. We extend the chosen scalar product to $\mathfrak{h}$ so that e_{2n+1} is unitary and orthogonal to $\mathfrak{h}^1$.

The group operation of Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ is given in exponential coordinates by

$$(x^1, \dots, x^{2n}, x^{2n+1})(y^1, \dots, y^{2n}, y^{2n+1}) = \Big(x^1 + y^1, \dots, x^{2n} + y^{2n}, x^{2n+1} + y^{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x^i y^{i+n} - y^i x^{i+n})\Big)$$

and the left-invariant vector fields are

$$e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{2}x^{i+n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}},\ e_{i+n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i+n}} + \frac{1}{2}x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}},\ e_{2n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}}.$$

We shall first find a parametrization for the open unit ball B_1 of $\mathbb{H}^n$ whose expression also works for a parametrization of $\exp^{-1}(B_1) \subset \mathfrak{h}$.

By [22, Proposition 5.1], the Carnot-Caratheodory geodesics $c(t)$ passing by the identity are solutions of the following system

$$\dot{c} = \sum_{r=1}^{2n} \lambda_r e_r, \quad \dot{\lambda}_j = -\lambda_0\lambda_{j+n}, \quad \dot{\lambda}_{j+n} = \lambda_0\lambda_j, \quad \dot{\lambda}_0 = 0.$$

with initial conditions $c(0) = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\lambda_k(0) = \mu_k$, $k = 0, \dots, 2n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_0 &= \mu_0 \\
\lambda_j &= \mu_j \cos(\mu_0 t) - \mu_{j+n}\sin(\mu_0 t) \\
\lambda_{j+n} &= \mu_{j+n}\cos(\mu_0 t) + \mu_j \sin(\mu_0 t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}^j &= \mu_j \cos(\mu_0 t) - \mu_{j+n}\sin(\mu_0 t) \\
\dot{x}^{j+n} &= \mu_{j+n}\cos(\mu_0 t) + \mu_j \sin(\mu_0 t) \\
\dot{x}^{2n+1} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\dot{x}^{j+n}x^j - \dot{x}^j x^{j+n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating from 0 to t we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x^j(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}, t) &= \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_0}\sin(\mu_0 t) - \frac{\mu_{j+n}}{\mu_0}(1 - \cos(\mu_0 t)) \\
x^{j+n}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}, t) &= \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_0}(1 - \cos(\mu_0 t)) + \frac{\mu_{j+n}}{\mu_0}\sin(\mu_0 t) \\
x^{2n+1}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}, t) &= \frac{1}{2\mu_0^2}\left(\sum_{r=1}^{2n}\mu_r^2\right)(\mu_0 t - \sin(\mu_0 t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the unitary ball B_1 defined by the homogeneous distance ρ is parameterized by the Carnot-Caratheodory exponential as

$$\exp_{CC}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}) = \big(x^1(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}, 1), \dots, x^{2n+1}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}, 1)\big)$$

on the cylinder $-2\pi \leq \mu_0 \leq 2\pi$, $\sum_{r=1}^{2n} \mu_r^2 \leq 1$. Observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^j(\mu_0,\ldots,\mu_{2n},1) \\ x^{j+n}(\mu_0,\ldots,\mu_{2n},1) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\mu_0) & -(1-\cos(\mu_0)) \\ (1-\cos(\mu_0)) & \sin(\mu_0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j \\ \mu_{j+n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We transform the matrix on the right-hand side into an orthogonal matrix as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^j(\mu_0,\ldots,\mu_{2n},1) \\ x^{j+n}(\mu_0,\ldots,\mu_{2n},1) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\cos(\mu_0))}}{|\mu_0|} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j \\ \mu_{j+n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\cos\alpha = \dfrac{|\sin\mu_0|}{\sqrt{2(1-\cos\mu_0)}}$ and $\sin\alpha = \dfrac{\sqrt{2(1-\cos\mu_0)}}{2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\mu_0)}$. If we introduce the change of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mu}_j \\ \overline{\mu}_{j+n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_j \\ \mu_{j+n} \end{bmatrix},$$

then we can parameterize B_1 by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu_0,\overline{\mu}_1\ldots,\overline{\mu}_{2n}) = \left(\varepsilon(\mu_0)\overline{\mu}_1,\ldots,\varepsilon(\mu_0)\overline{\mu}_{2n}, \frac{\mu_0-\sin\mu_0}{2\mu_0^2}\sum_{r=1}^{2n}\overline{\mu}_r^2\right). \tag{4.7}$$

on the cylinder $-2\pi \leq \mu_0 \leq 2\pi$, $\sum_{r=1}^{2n} \overline{\mu}_r^2 \leq 1$, where $\varepsilon(\mu_0) = \dfrac{\sqrt{2(1-\cos\mu_0)}}{|\mu_0|}$.

Using (4.7) we can prove the result following:

Theorem 3. *If M is a non-horizontal submanifold of $\mathbb{H}^n$, then the μ-measure on M is a constant multiple of the spherical Hausdorff measure.*

Proof. According to formula (1.1) and (4.6) we must show that

$$\theta(\tau_M^d(x)) = \mathcal{H}_{|\cdot|}^{2n+1-p}(F^{-1}(\exp(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d(x))) \cap B_1))$$

is constant on a non-horizontal submanifold M of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

From (4.5) we get

$$\tau_M^d = |\det \mathbf{B}|^{-1} f_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{2n} \wedge e_{2n+1}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d)$ is generated by $f_{p+1},\ldots,f_{2n},e_{2n+1}$.

Let $A : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be the linear transformation such that $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ is given by $F = \exp\circ A$. Then,

$$F^{-1}(\exp(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d)) \cap B_1) = A^{-1}(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d) \cap \exp^{-1}(B_1)).$$

Let $\phi \in O(2n+1,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(0,\ldots,0,1) = (0,\ldots,0,1)$ and $\tilde{\phi} = A\phi A^{-1}$. Note that $\tilde{\phi}$ acts in $\mathfrak{h}$ as an isometry, fixes e_{2n+1} and $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\phi}\tau_M^d) = \tilde{\phi}\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d)$.

It follows from formula (4.7) that $\tilde{\phi}(\exp^{-1}(B_1)) = \exp^{-1}(B_1)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(\tilde{\phi}\tau_M^d) &= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(F^{-1}\Big(\exp(\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\phi}\tau_M^d)) \cap B_1\Big)\Big) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(A^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\phi}\tau_M^d) \cap \exp^{-1}(B_1)\Big)\Big) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(A^{-1}\Big(\tilde{\phi}\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d) \cap \tilde{\phi}\Big(\exp^{-1}(B_1)\Big)\Big)\Big) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(A^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}\Big(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d) \cap \exp^{-1}(B_1)\Big)\Big) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(\phi \circ A^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d) \cap \exp^{-1}(B_1)\Big)\Big) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_{|.|}^{2n+1-p}\Big(A^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d) \cap \exp^{-1}(B_1)\Big)\Big) \\
&= \theta(\tau_M^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Since, for any $y \in M$, $\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d(y))$ can be obtained by the action of some $\tilde{\phi}$ on $\mathcal{L}(\tau_M^d(x))$, the function $\theta(\tau_M^d)$ is constant on M.

□

5. First variation of μ

Consider an immersion $i : M \to \mathbb{G}$ such that $i(M)$ in $\mathbb{G}$ is a non-horizontal submanifold of codimension p. On $\mathbb{G}$ we have the volume element

$$\mathrm{d}V = e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n = f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n \tag{5.1}$$

and on $i(M)$ the volume form

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(0) := \mathrm{d}\mu = f^{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n.$$

Let $F : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times M \to \mathbb{G}$ be a differentiable map such that $F_u : M \to \mathbb{G}$ is an immersion for all $u \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, where $F_u(p) = F(u, p)$, and $i = F_0$. The map F is a variation of i. On each submanifold $F_u(M)$ we have the volume form $\tilde{\Gamma}(u)$ as constructed in Section 4. Thus, we have a family of volume forms $\Gamma(u) = F_u^*(\tilde{\Gamma}(u))$ on M and by the arguments of [19, p. 286] we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}\bigg|_{u=u_0} \int_M \Gamma(u) = \int_M \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}\bigg|_{u=u_0} \Gamma(u)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4. *Let $i : M \to \mathbb{G}$ be an immersion of an oriented $(n-p)$-dimensional manifold M into a sub-Riemannian stratified Lie group $(\mathbb{G}, D, \langle\,,\,\rangle)$ as a non-horizontal submanifold and let $F : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times M \to \mathbb{G}$ be a variation of i through immersions with variation vector field W. If $\Gamma(u) = F_u^*(\tilde{\Gamma}(u))$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}(u)$ is the volume form of the μ-measure in $F_u(M)$ in accordance with the given orientation of M, then*

$$\dot{\Gamma}(0) = i^*\left(H_{W^\perp} + \sigma_{W^\perp}\right)\Gamma(0) + \mathrm{d}\left(i_*^{-1}(W^\top) \lrcorner \Gamma(0)\right),$$

where H is the mean curvature and σ is the mean torsion of $i(M)$.

Proof. We follow the ideas of Spivak [19]. Let $W = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}F\big|_{u=0}$ be the variation vector field. Choose a point $p_0 \in M$ such that $W_{p_0} = W(i(p_0))$ is not tangent to $i(M)$. Then, in a neighborhood O of p_0 (and decreasing ϵ if necessary) we can suppose that $F : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times O \to \mathbb{G}$ is an embedding. Let us denote $F_u(O) = O_u$.

On an open set $\widetilde{O}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ containing the image of $(-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times O$ we can choose a basis of vector fields $f_1,\dots,f_n$ such that

(i) $f_1,\dots,f_p$ restricted to O_u are in $TO_u^{\perp}$;
(ii) $f_{p+1},\dots,f_n$ restricted to O_u are in TO_u;
(iii) $f_1,\dots,f_{d_1}$ are orthonormal in D;
(iv) $f_i = e_i - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} A_i^{\alpha} f_\alpha$, for $i = d_1+1,\dots,n$.

If $f^1,\dots,f^n$ are the dual 1-forms, then $F_u^*(f^\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha=1,\dots,p$. Furthermore, if $\Phi = f^{p+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge f^n$, we get $\tilde{\Gamma}(u) = \Phi|_{O_u}$ and

$$\Gamma(u) = F_u^*\tilde{\Gamma}(u) = F_u^*\Phi = F_u^*(f^{p+1}\wedge\ldots\wedge f^n)$$

is a volume form on M.

Now, the vector field $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}F$ defined on $F((-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times O)$ can be extended further to a vector field $\widetilde{W}$ defined on the open set $\widetilde{O}$. Associated to $\widetilde{W}$ there is a local 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms ρ_u such that $\rho_u(F_v(p)) = F_{u+v}(p)$. Hence, if X is a tangent vector field on O, then $(\rho_u)_*(F_v)_*X = (F_{u+v})_*X$. Therefore,

$$\dot{\Gamma}(u) = F_u^* L_{\widetilde{W}}\Phi = F_u^*\left(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner \mathrm{d}\Phi + \mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}\lrcorner\Phi\right), \tag{5.3}$$

where $L_{\widetilde{W}}$ is Lie derivative with respect to $\widetilde{W}$.

On the other hand, using the Proposition 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\Phi &= \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} (-1)^{j-(p+1)} f^{p+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge \mathrm{d}f^j\wedge\cdots\wedge f^n \\
&= \sum_{j=p+1}^{d_1} (-1)^{j-(p+1)} f^{p+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f^i\wedge\overline{\omega}_i^j\right)\wedge\cdots\wedge f^n \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n} (-1)^{j-(p+1)} f^{p+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\overline{T}^j\wedge\cdots\wedge f^n
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{T}^j = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l=1}^{n}\overline{T}^j(f_k,f_l)f^k\wedge f^l$, then we can write the above equality as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\Phi =& \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\left(\sum_{i=p+1}^{d_1}\overline{\omega}_\alpha^i(f_i) + \sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n}\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha,f_j)\right) f^\alpha\wedge\Phi \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\left(\sum_{i=p+1}^{d_1}\overline{\omega}_\alpha^i(f_\beta) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n}\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\right) f^\alpha\wedge\Psi \\
=& \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\Big(H_{f_\alpha} + \sigma_{f_\alpha}\Big) f^\alpha\wedge\Phi + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}f^\alpha\wedge\Psi,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi = f^{p+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge f^\beta\wedge\cdots\wedge f^n \text{ and } \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=p+1}^{d_1}\overline{\omega}_\alpha^i(f_\beta) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=d_1+1}^{n}\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha,f_\beta).$$

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W}\lrcorner \mathrm{d}\Phi &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\Big(H_{f_\alpha}+\sigma_{f_\alpha}\Big)\Big(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner(f^\alpha\wedge\Phi)\Big)+\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\Big(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner(f^\alpha\wedge\Psi)\Big)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\Big(H_{f_\alpha}+\sigma_{f_\alpha}\Big)\Big(f^\alpha(\widetilde{W})\Phi-f^\alpha\wedge(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner\Phi)\Big)\\ &\quad+\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\Big(f^\alpha(\widetilde{W})\Psi-f^\alpha\wedge(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner\Psi)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $F_u^* f^\alpha = 0$ and $F_u^*\Psi = 0$, then

$$F_u^*(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner\mathrm{d}\Phi) = F_u^*\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} f^\alpha(\widetilde{W})(H_{f_\alpha}+\sigma_{f_\alpha})\right)\Gamma(u) = F_u^*\left(H_{\widetilde{W}^\perp}+\sigma_{\widetilde{W}^\perp}\right)\Gamma(u)\ .$$

For the other term is proved easily that $\mathrm{d}(\widetilde{W}\lrcorner\Phi) = \mathrm{d}(\widetilde{W}^\top\lrcorner\Phi)$.

Now, equation (5.3) implies

$$F_u^* L_{\widetilde{W}}\Phi = F_u^*\left(H_{\widetilde{W}^\perp}+\sigma_{\widetilde{W}^\perp}\right)\Gamma(u) + F_u^*(\mathrm{d}(\widetilde{W}^\top\lrcorner\Phi))\ .$$

By setting $u = 0$ we obtain the proof of this theorem at any point p_0 for which $W(p_0)$ is not tangent to $i(M)$. In the general case, we proceed as follows.

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{G}\times\mathbb{R}$ be the Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathbf{g} = \mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}$ (where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{G}$) admits a stratification $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g^1}\oplus\mathbf{g^2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbf{g^r}$ such that

$$\mathbf{g^1} = \mathfrak{g}^1\oplus\mathbb{R},\ \mathbf{g^i} = \mathfrak{g}^i,\ \text{for } i = 2,3,\ldots,r \text{ and } [\mathfrak{g}^i,\mathbb{R}] = 0\ \forall\ i.$$

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the distribution on $\mathbf{G}$ generated by $\mathbf{g^1}$. Therefore, $(\mathbf{G},\mathbf{D},\langle,\rangle)$ is a sub-Riemannian stratified Lie group, where by $\langle,\rangle$ we denote the scalar product induced on $\mathbf{G}$.

Define $\widehat{F}:(-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times M\to\mathbf{G}$ by $\widehat{F}(u,p) = (F(u,p),u)$. The new variation vector field $\mathbf{W}$ is $\mathbf{W}(p) = (W(p),1)$, where 1 denotes the unit vector field on $\mathbb{R}$. Observe that $\mathbf{W}$ is not tangent to $\widehat{F}_0(M)$, so the theorem holds for $\widehat{F}$. On the other hand

$$\mathbf{A}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^\perp}(\mathbf{X}) = (A_{\widetilde{W}^\perp}(X),0),\ \overline{\mathbf{T}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^\perp,\mathbf{X}) = (\overline{T}(\widetilde{W}^\perp,X),0)$$

where $\mathbf{X} = X+\xi\in T\mathbf{G} = T\mathbb{G}\oplus\mathbb{R}$, which proves the claim of theorem for F.

□

Corollary 1. *Let M be an oriented compact manifold of dimension $n-p$ with boundary, $\mathbb{G}$ a stratified Lie group of dimension n and $i:M\to\mathbb{G}$ an immersion of M in $\mathbb{G}$ as a non-horizontal submanifold. Let $F:(-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times M\to\mathbb{G}$ be a variation of i through immersions, with variation vector field W. If $\Gamma(u) = F_u^*(\tilde{\Gamma}(u))$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}(u)$ is the volume form in $F_u(M)$ in accordance with the given orientation of M, and*

$$V(u) = \int_M \Gamma(u)$$

then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}V(u)\bigg|_{u=0} = \int_M i^*\left(H_{W^\perp}+\sigma_{W^\perp}\right)\Gamma(0) + \int_{\partial M} i_*^{-1}(W^\top)\lrcorner\Gamma(0),$$

where H is the mean curvature and σ the mean torsion of $i(M)$. In particular, if F is a variation that keeps the boundary of M fixed, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}V(u)\bigg|_{u=0} = \int_M i^*\left(H_{W^\perp} + \sigma_{W^\perp}\right)\Gamma(0)\,.$$

The immersion i is a critical point for V among all immersions $f : M \to \mathbb{G}$ with $f = i$ on ∂M if and only if

$$H + \sigma = 0$$

on $TM^\perp$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 4, formula (5.2) and the Stokes' theorem. If F keeps ∂M fixed, then $W = 0$ on M, so $i_*^{-1}(W^\top)\lrcorner\Gamma(0)$ on ∂M, which proves the second statement. The third one follows from the choice of a vector field $v \in TM^\perp$ such that for every $w \in TM^\perp$, $H_w + \sigma_w = \langle v, w\rangle$ and a positive function ϕ on the interior of M such that $\phi|_{\partial M} = 0$. The last statement follows by taking $W^\perp = \phi v$. □

Corollary 2. *Let the assumptions of Corollary 1 hold. If M is a hypersurface, then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}V(u)\bigg|_{u=0} = \int_M i^*\left(H_{W^\perp}\right)\Gamma(0) + \int_{\partial M} i_*^{-1}(W^\top)\lrcorner\Gamma(0),$$

where H is the mean curvature of $i(M)$. In particular, if F is a variation that keeps the boundary of M fixed, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}V(u)\bigg|_{u=0} = \int_M i^*\left(H_{W^\perp}\right)\Gamma(0),$$

The immersion i is a critical point for V, among all immersions $f : M \to \mathbb{G}$ with $f = i$ on ∂M if and only if $H = 0$ on $TM^\perp$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, f_j) = \overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, e_j - \sum_{\beta=1}^{p} A_j^\beta f_\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} a_\alpha^i \overline{T}^j(e_i, e_j) - \sum_{\beta=1}^{p} A_j^\beta \overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, f_\beta)$$

and as $c_{ij}^j = 0$ we get

$$\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, f_j) = -\sum_{\beta=1}^{p} A_j^\beta \overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, f_\beta)$$

and $\overline{T}^j(f_\alpha, f_\beta) = 0$ if $\deg j \neq 2$. In particular, if M is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}$, then $p = 1$ and we obtain $\overline{T}^j(f_1, f_j) = 0$ for every $j = d_1 + 1, \ldots, n$. □

A special case is when M has the same dimension of $\mathbb{G}$, so that M is a compact n-dimensional manifold with boundary in $\mathbb{G}$. Then $T_pM = T_p\mathbb{G}$ so $TM^\perp = 0$ for all $p \in M$. Consequently, $H_{W^\perp} + \sigma_{W^\perp} = 0$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}V(u)\bigg|_{u=0} = \int_{\partial M} i_*^{-1}(W)\lrcorner\Gamma(0),$$

for any $W \in TM$. If ∂M is non-horizontal in all of its points, we can choose an adapted basis $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of $T\mathbb{G}|_{\partial M}$. Then $i_*^{-1}(W) \lrcorner \Gamma(0) = f^1(W) f^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n$. Since $f^1 = 0$ on $T\partial M$ we have that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} V(u)\Big|_{u=0} = \int_{\partial M} f^1(W) \mathrm{d}\mu,$$

where $\mathrm{d}\mu = f^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^n$.

Motivated by Corollary 1 we give the following definition.

Definition 5. *We say that a non-horizontal submanifold is* minimal *if*

$$H + \sigma = 0 \text{ on } TM^{\perp}.$$

Example 1. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a minimal submanifold and $N \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ non-horizontal submanifold defined by $N = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then, N is minimal.*

Let $\pi : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the natural projection and let $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the canonical Riemannian connection on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_p$ and $g_{p+1}, \dots, g_{2n}$ be orthonormal basis of $TM^{\perp}$ and TM, respectively. Let $f_1, \dots, f_{2n}$ be a basis of D restricted to N such that $\pi_*(f_j) = g_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2n$. Therefore, $f_{2n+1} = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^{2n+1}}$ extends $f_{p+1}, \dots, f_{2n}$ to a basis of TN. Clearly, $\pi_*(\overline{\nabla}_{f_i} f_\alpha) = \nabla^{\mathbb{R}}_{g_i} g_\alpha$ for $i = p+1, \dots, 2n$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$. As $\overline{\nabla} f_\alpha = \sum_{i=p+1}^{2n} \overline{\omega}_\alpha^i \otimes f_i$, and if $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha = \sum_{i=p+1}^{2n} \psi_\alpha^i \otimes g_i$ for $j = p+1, \dots, 2n$, we have that $\pi^* \psi_\alpha^i = \overline{\omega}_\alpha^i$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\pi_*(A_{f_\alpha}(f_i)) = -\sum_{j=p+1}^{2n} \pi_*(\overline{\omega}_\alpha^j(f_i) f_j) = -\sum_{j=p+1}^{2n} \psi_\alpha^j(g_i) g_j = A_{g_\alpha}(g_i).$$

Because M is minimal, then

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{2n} \langle A_{f_\alpha}(f_j), f_j \rangle = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, for the mean torsion we have that

$$\overline{T}^{2n+1}(f_\alpha, f_{2n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_\alpha^k \overline{T}^{2n+1}(e_k, e_{2n+1}) = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

because $\overline{T}(e_k, e_{2n+1}) = -[e_k, e_{2n+1}] = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, 2n$. Hence, from (5.4) and (5.5), N is minimal.

The next example is well known in the literature (see [14]).

Example 2. *Let S be a non-horizontal surface in $\mathbb{H}^1$ at all points. If S is minimal surface, then S is ruled.*

By Corollary 1, we have $\langle A_{f_1}(f_2), f_2 \rangle = 0$ or $\langle \overline{\nabla}_{f_2} f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0$. It follows that $\langle \overline{\nabla}_{f_2} f_2, f_1 \rangle = 0$, and therefore $\overline{\nabla}_{f_2} f_2 = 0$. Consider π and $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}}$ as in the Example 1. Let γ be a tangent horizontal curve to S, with $\gamma'(t) = f_2(\gamma(t))$ and $g(t) = \pi(\gamma(t))$ the projection of γ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then, $|g'(t)| = |\pi_* \gamma'(t)| = 1$ and

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{R}}_{g'(t)} g'(t) = \pi_*(\overline{\nabla}_{f_2} f_2) = 0.$$

Therefore, the curvature of curve g is 0. It follows that g is a line in $\mathbb{R}^2$. If $g(t) = (x_0 + at, y_0 + bt)$, then

$$\gamma(t) = (x_0 + at, y_0 + bt, z_0 + \frac{1}{2}(bx_0 - ay_0)t)$$

is a line, and it follows that S is ruled.

6. Non-horizontal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2$

In this section we give new examples of minimal non-horizontal surfaces in the 5-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^2$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}^2$ of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^2$ is generated by e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 with $[e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = e_5$. Let us define two linear operators acting on $\mathfrak{h}^1$. The first operator we define by $Je_1 = e_3$, $Je_2 = e_4$, $Je_3 = -e_1$ and $Je_4 = -e_3$. The second we define by $Re_1 = e_2$, $Re_2 = -e_1$, $Re_3 = -e_4$ and $Re_4 = e_3$. Consider the scalar product in $\mathfrak{h}^1$ such that e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}^1$. One can easily prove the following properties of J and R.

Proposition 5. *The following relations for J and R are true:*

(1) $J^2 = R^2 = -Id$;
(2) $RJ + JR = 0$.
(3) $\langle Rx, Ry\rangle = \langle Jx, Jy\rangle = \langle x, y\rangle,\ \forall\ x, y \in \mathfrak{h}^1$;
(4) $\langle Jx, Ry\rangle + \langle Rx, Jy\rangle = 0, \forall\ x, y \in \mathfrak{h}^1$;
(5) $[x, Jy] = \langle x, y\rangle e_5$, $[x, Ry] = \langle Jx, Ry\rangle e_5$, $\forall\ x, y \in \mathfrak{h}^1$,

where Id is identity application of $\mathfrak{h}^1$.

Proposition 6. *If $v_4 \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ is unitary vector and $v_3 = Rv_4$, $v_2 = -Jv_4$, $v_1 = -Rv_2$, then v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}^1$ such that the only non-null brackets are $[v_1, v_3] = [v_2, v_4] = e_5$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 5 that v_1, v_4, v_3, v_4 is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}^1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[v_1, v_3] = -[Rv_2, Rv_4] = -\langle JRv_2, Rv_4\rangle e_5 = \langle RJv_2, Rv_4\rangle e_5 = \langle Jv_2, v_4\rangle e_5 = e_5\\
&[v_2, v_4] = [-Jv_4, v_4] = \langle v_4, v_4\rangle e_5 = e_5,\\
&[v_2, v_3] = [-Jv_4, Rv_4] = \langle Rv_4, v_4\rangle e_5 = 0,\\
&[v_1, v_4] = -[Rv_2, v_4] = \langle Jv_4, Rv_2\rangle e_5 = -\langle v_2, Rv_2\rangle e_5 = 0,\\
&[v_1, v_2] = [Rv_2, Jv_4] = \langle Rv_2, v_4\rangle e_5 = -\langle v_1, v_4\rangle e_5 = 0,\\
&[v_3, v_4] = [Rv_4, v_4] = -\langle Jv_4, Rv_4\rangle = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Naturally, we can extend the operators J and R to D and it satisfy $\overline{\nabla} J = 0$ and $\overline{\nabla} R = 0$. We then get the following consequence of Proposition 6.

Corollary 3. *If $f_4 \in D$ is an unitary vector field and $f_3 = Rf_4, f_2 = -Jf_4, f_1 = -Rf_2$, then f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 is an orthonormal basis of D such that $\overline{T}^5(f_1, f_3) = \overline{T}^5(f_2, f_4) = -1$ and others are null.*

Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be a non-horizontal submanifold of dimension two. Then $TM \cap D$ is of dimension one. Choose a unitary vector field f_4 in $TM \cap D$. Using Corollary

3 we complete it to an orthonormal basis of D by choosing $f_3 = Rf_4$, $f_2 = -Jf_4$, $f_1 = -Rf_2$ in $TM^\perp$. Moreover, we get for every $X \in TM$

$$\overline{\omega}_4^1(X) = \overline{\omega}_3^2(X), \overline{\omega}_4^2(X) = -\overline{\omega}_3^1(X) \text{ and } \overline{\omega}_2^1(X) = -\overline{\omega}_3^4(X)\,.$$

If M is minimal non-horizontal surface, then $-\langle A_\xi(f_4), f_4\rangle + \overline{T}^5(\xi, f_5) = 0$, $\forall \xi \in TM^\perp$. So,

$$-\overline{\omega}_1^4(f_4) = A_5^3,\ -\overline{\omega}_2^4(f_4) = 0,\ \overline{\omega}_3^4(f_4) = -A_5^1\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\nabla}_{f_4} f_4 = -A_5^3 f_1 + A_5^1 f_3\,.$$

If we set $f_4 = a^1e_1 + a^2e_2 + a^3e_3 + a^4e_4$, where $a^i : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= -Jf_4 = a^3e_1 + a^4e_2 - a^1e_3 - a^2e_4,\\ f_3 &= Rf_4 = -a^2e_1 + a^1e_2 + a^4e_3 - a^3e_4,\\ f_1 &= -Rf_2 = a^4e_1 - a^3e_2 + a^2e_3 - a^1e_4\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi(t,s)$ be a parametrization of M such that $\varphi_s(t,s) = f_4(\varphi(t,s))$. Then,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\begin{bmatrix} a^1\\ a^2\\ a^3\\ a^4\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4) & 0 & \overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4)\\ \overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4) & 0 & -\overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4) & 0\\ 0 & \overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4) & 0 & \overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4)\\ -\overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4) & 0 & -\overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4) & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a^1\\ a^2\\ a^3\\ a^4\end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

We shall denote by $O(4,\mathbb{R})$ the set of orthogonal matrices of order 4. So, if $\Phi(t,s) \in O(4,\mathbb{R})$ is a fundamental solution of (6.1), then it satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^1(t,s)\\ a^2(t,s)\\ a^3(t,s)\\ a^4(t,s)\end{bmatrix} = \Phi(t,s)\begin{bmatrix} a^1(t,0)\\ a^2(t,0)\\ a^3(t,0)\\ a^4(t,0)\end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.2}$$

Therefore, if we write $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^5$ as

$$\varphi(t,s) = (x^1(t,s), x^2(t,s), x^3(t,s), x^4(t,s), x^5(t,s)),$$

then $\dfrac{\partial x^i}{\partial s} = a^i$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$ and $\dfrac{\partial x^5}{\partial s} = \dfrac{1}{2}(a^3x^1 + a^4x^2 - a^1x^3 - a^2x^4)$. From this we get

$$x^i(t,s) = x_t^i(0) + \int_0^s a_t^i(u)\mathrm{d}u$$

for $i = 1,2,3,4$ and

$$x_t^5(s) = x_t^5(0) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^s \Big(a_t^3(u)x_t^1(u) + a_t^4(u)x_t^2(u) - a_t^1(u)x_t^3(u) - a_t^2(u)x_t^4(u)\Big)\mathrm{d}u,$$

where $a_t^i(s) = a^i(t,s)$ and $x_t^i(s) = x^i(t,s)$.

In addition, if we impose the following condition $\left\langle \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,0), \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial s}(t,0)\right\rangle = 0$ then $\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,0) = e^5\left(\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,0)\right) f_5(t,0)$ and

$$\varphi(t,0) = \int_0^t r(v)\Big(e_5(v,0) - A_5^1(v,0)f_1(v,0) - A_5^2(v,0)f_2(v,0) - A_5^3(v,0)f_3(v,0)\Big)\mathrm{d}v,$$

where $r(t) = e^5\Big(\dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,0)\Big)$.

Using all of the above we can study two models of minimal non-horizontal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2$.

Example 3. *Ruled surface*

Consider the case where $\overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4) = \overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4) = 0$. In this case $a^i, i = 1, \cdots, 4$ are constant on the tangent curves on $TM \cap D$. So,

$$x^i(t,s) = x^i(t) + a^i(t)s, i = 1,2,3,4 \tag{6.3}$$

where $x^i(t) = x^i(t,0)$ and $a^i(t) = a^i(t,0)$. Also, we get

$$x^5(t,s) = x^5(t) + \frac{s}{2}\Big(a^3(t)x^1(t) + a^4(t)x^2(t) - a^1(t)x^3(t) - a^2(t)x^4(t)\Big). \tag{6.4}$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi(t,0) = \int_0^t r(v)\Big(e_5(v,0) - A_5^2(v,0)f_2(v,0)\Big)\mathrm{d}v\,.$$

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,s) - \varphi(t,0) =& \sum_{i=1}^{5}\Big(x^i(t,s) - x^i(t,0)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\\ =& \sum_{i=1}^{4}\Big(x^i(t,s) - x^i(t,0)\Big)e_i(t) + \Big(x^5(t,s) - x^5(t,0)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\Big((x^1(t,s) - x^1(t,0))x^3(t,0) + (x^2(t,s) - x^2(t,0))x^4(t,0)\\ &- (x^3(t,s) - x^3(t,0))x^1(t,0) - (x^4(t,s) - x^4(t,0))x^2(t,0)\Big)\Big)e_5(t)\,.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6.3) and (6.4) that

$$\varphi(t,s) = \varphi(t,0) + sf_4(t,0)\,.$$

Example 4. *Tubular surfaces*

Consider $\overline{\omega}_4^1(f_4) = b_1(t)$ and $\overline{\omega}_4^3(f_4) = b_3(t)$ constants along tangent curves on $TM \cap D$ such that $b_1(t)^2 + b_3(t)^2 \neq 0$. The eigenvalues of the matrix (6.1) are $\pm i\sqrt{b_1(t)^2 + b_3(t)^2}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Resolving the differential equation (6.1) we obtain the fundamental matrix

$$\Phi(t,s) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(sb(t)) & -\dfrac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & 0 & \dfrac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} \\ \dfrac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & \cos(sb(t)) & -\dfrac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & \cos(sb(t)) & \dfrac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} \\ -\dfrac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & 0 & -\dfrac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)} & \cos(sb(t)) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $b(t)=\sqrt{b_1(t)^2+b_3(t)^2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
a^1(t,s)&=\cos(sb(t))a^1(t)-\frac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^2(t)+\frac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^4(t),\\
a^2(t,s)&=\frac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^1(t)+\cos(sb(t))a^2(t)-\frac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^3(t),\\
a^3(t,s)&=\frac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^2(t)+\cos(sb(t))a^3(t)+\frac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^4(t),\\
a^4(t,s)&=-\frac{b_1(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^1(t)-\frac{b_3(t)\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^3(t)+\cos(sb(t))a^4(t)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
x^1(t,s)&=x^1(t)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^1(t)+b_3(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^2(t)-b_1(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^4(t),\\
x^2(t,s)&=x^2(t)-b_3(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^1(t)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^2(t)+b_1(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^3(t),\\
x^3(t,s)&=x^3(t)-b_1(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^2(t)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^3(t)-b_3(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^4(t),\\
x^4(t,s)&=x^4(t)+b_1(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^1(t)+b_3(t)\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}a^3(t)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}a^4(t)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging these terms in (6.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x^5(t,s)=&\,x^5(t)+\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{2b(t)^2}\Big(-(b_1(t)a^2(t)+b_3(t)a^4(t))x^1(t)\\
&+(b_1(t)a^1(t)+b_3(t)a^3(t))x^2(t)-(b_3(t)a^2(t)-b_1(t)a^4(t))x^3(t)\\
&-(b_3(t)a^1(t)+b_1(t)a^3(t))x^4(t)\Big)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{2b(t)}\Big(a^3(t)x^1(t)+a^4(t)x^2(t)\\
&-a^1(t)x^3(t)-a^2(t)x^4(t)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the parametrization of a minimal non-horizontal surface in $\mathbb{H}^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t,s)-\varphi(t,0)=&\,\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{4}a^i(t)e_i(t)\\
&+\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}b_3(t)\Big(a^2(t)e_1(t)-a^1(t)e_2(t)-a^4(t)e_3(t)\\
&+a^3(t)e_4(t)\Big)+\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}b_1(t)\Big(-a^4(t)e_1(t)+a^3(t)e_2(t)\\
&-a^2(t)e_3(t)+a^3(t)e_4(t)\Big)+\Big(x^5(t,s)-x^5(t,0)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(x^1(t,s)-x^1(t,0))x^3(t,0)+\frac{1}{2}(x^2(t,s)-x^2(t,0))x^4(t,0)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}(x^3(t,s)-x^3(t,0))x^1(t,0)-\frac{1}{2}(x^4(t,s)-x^4(t,0))x^2(t,0)\Big)e_5(t)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\varphi(t,s)=\varphi(t,0)+\frac{\sin(sb(t))}{b(t)}f_4(t,0)-\frac{\cos(sb(t))-1}{b(t)^2}\Big(b_3(t)f_3(t,0)+b_1(t)f_1(t,0)\Big). \tag{6.5}$$

Conversely, suppose that γ is a transverse curve in $\mathbb{H}^2$ (γ is a one dimensional non-horizontal submanifod) and $f_4 \in D$ is a unitary vector field along γ. According to Corollary 3 we can choose $f_2 = -Jf_4, f_3 = Rf_4, f_1 = -Rf_2$ which is an orthonormal basis of D along γ. We complete this basis to a basis of $T\mathbb{H}^2$ with $f_5 = e_5 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} A_5^\alpha f_\alpha$ such that $\dfrac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda_1 f_4 + \lambda_2 f_5$, where λ_1, λ_2 are non-null smooth functions on $\mathbb{H}^2$.

Now, if we define a non-horizontal surface M by (6.5) with $\varphi(t,0) = \gamma(t,0)$, $b_1(t) = -A_5^3(t,0)$ and $b_3(t) = A_5^1(t,0)$, it follows that M is minimal.

Example 5. Let $\gamma(t) = \left(r\cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0, r\sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0, 0\right)$ be a transverse curve in $\mathbb{H}^2$ and let $f_4(t) = \left(0, \cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0, \sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0\right)$ be an unitary vector field along γ. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= \sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_1(t) + \cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_3(t), \\ f_2(t) &= \sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_2(t) - \cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_4(t), \\ f_3(t) &= -\cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_1(t) + \sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)e_3(t)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(-\sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0, \cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0, 0\right) = \frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{2}{r}\cos\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right)f_1(t) + \frac{2}{r}\sin\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right)f_3(t) + e_5(t)\right)$$

and

$$f_5(t) = e_5(t) + \frac{2}{r}\cos\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right)f_1(t) + \frac{2}{r}\sin\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right)f_3(t).$$

So,

$$b_1(t) = A_5^3(t,0) = -\frac{2}{r}\sin\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad b_3(t) = -A_5^1(t,0) = \frac{2}{r}\cos\left(\frac{2t}{r}\right)$$

and we obtain that $b(t) = \sqrt{b_1(t)^2 + b_3(t)^2} = \dfrac{2}{r}$. Therefore, the parametrization $\varphi(t,s)$ of minimal non-horizontal surface in $\mathbb{H}^2$ generated by $\gamma(t)$ and the unitary vector field $f_4(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t,s) = \frac{r}{2}\Big(&\cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)\left(1+\cos\left(\frac{2s}{r}\right)\right), \sin\left(\frac{2s}{r}\right)\cos\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), \\ &\sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right)\left(1+\cos\left(\frac{2s}{r}\right)\right), \sin\left(\frac{2s}{r}\right)\sin\left(\frac{t}{r}\right), 0\Big). \end{aligned}$$

This surface is non-horizontal in all points except $(0,0,0,0,0)$.

7. Minimal hypersufaces

Let $M = \{x \in \mathbb{G} : \phi(x) = 0 \text{ e } \mathrm{grad}_D\phi(x) \neq 0\}$ be a non-horizontal hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a real function C^∞ and grad_D denotes the horizontal gradient operator defined by

$$\mathrm{grad}_D\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \phi_i e_i \ \text{ or } \ \mathrm{d}\phi(X) = \langle \mathrm{grad}_D\phi, X\rangle, \ \forall\, X \in D,$$

where $\phi_i = e_i(\phi)$.

To introduce the notion of divergence, observe that the volume form (5.1) is parallel with respect to $\overline{\nabla}$. According to [13, p. 282], for every vector field X on $\mathbb{G}$ we have

$$\mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{G}} X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^k(\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} X).$$

Let $f_1 = \frac{\mathrm{grad}_D \phi}{N}$, where $N = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \phi_i^2}$, and let $f_2, \ldots, f_n$ be the adapted basis on TM.

Proposition 7.

$$-H_{f_1} = \mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{grad}_D \phi}{|\mathrm{grad}_D \phi|} \right).$$

Proof. From the definition of the mean curvature it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
-H_{f_1} =& -\sum_{i=2}^{d_1} \langle A_{f_1}(f_i), f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=2}^{d_1} \langle \overline{\nabla}_{f_i} f_1, f_i \rangle = \sum_{i=2}^{d_1} \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{f_i} \Big(\frac{\phi_j}{N} e_j \Big), f_i \right\rangle \\
=& \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \sum_{i=2}^{d_1} \langle e_j, f_i \rangle f_i \Big(\frac{\phi_j}{N} \Big) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \Big(e_j - \langle e_j, f_1 \rangle f_1 \Big) \Big(\frac{\phi_j}{N} \Big) \\
=& \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} e_j \Big(\frac{\phi_j}{N} \Big) - \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \langle e_j, f_1 \rangle f_1 \Big(\langle e_j, f_1 \rangle \Big) \\
=& \mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \Big(\frac{\mathrm{grad}_D \phi}{|\mathrm{grad}_D \phi|} \Big) - \frac{1}{2} f_1 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \langle e_j, f_1 \rangle^2 \Big) = \mathrm{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{grad}_D \phi}{|\mathrm{grad}_D \phi|} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \langle e_j, f_1 \rangle^2 = 1$. □

Corollary 4. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a non-horizontal hypersurface defined by the function $\phi = u(x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}) - x_{2n+1} = 0$. Then, M is minimal if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} u_{i,i} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \phi_i \phi_j u_{i,j} = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $u_{i,j} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, $\phi_j = e_j(\phi)$ and $N = \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + \cdots + \phi_{2n}^2}$.

Proof. For $j = 1, \cdots, n$, $\phi_j = u_{x_j} - \frac{1}{2} x_{j+n}$, $\phi_{j+n} = u_{x_{j+n}} + \frac{1}{2} x_j$, where $u_{x_k} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}$. So $e_i(\phi_j) = u_{x_i, x_j}$, $e_i(\phi_{j+n}) = u_{x_i, x_{j+n}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}$, $e_{i+n}(\phi_j) = u_{x_{i+n}, x_j} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}$ and $e_{i+n}(\phi_{j+n}) = u_{x_{i+n}, x_{j+n}}$, for $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
-H_{f_1} =& \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i \frac{\phi_i}{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left(\frac{e_i(\phi_i)}{N} - \phi_i \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\phi_j e_i(\phi_j)}{N^3} \right) \\
=& \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} u_{i,i} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \phi_i \phi_j u_{i,j} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

From this proposition it follows immediately that the *hyperbolic paraboloid* with

$$u(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i^2 - x_{i+n}^2)$$

is minimal as in [10].

References

[1] A. Bellaïche, The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry, Springer. (1996).
[2] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, U. Boscain, Introduction to Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometry, Preprint SISSA. 9 (2012).
[3] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, U. Boscain, On the Hausdorff volume in sub-Riemannian geometry, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations. 43 (2012), 355-388.
[4] M. Gromov, Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math. 144 (1996), 79-323.
[5] D. A. Harville, Matrix Algebra from a Statistician's Perspective, New York. Springer. (1997).
[6] R. Ghezzi, F. Jean, Hausdorff measures and dimensions in non equiregular sub-Riemannian manifolds, Geometric control theory and sub-Riemannian geometry, Springer. (2014) 201-218.
[7] V. Magnani, Spherical Hausdorff measure of submanifolds in Heisenberg, Ricerche Mat. 54 (2006) 607-613.
[8] J. Mitchell, On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics, Journal of Differential Geometry. 21 (1985) 35-45.
[9] F. Montefalcone, Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées. 87 (2007) 453-494.
[10] F. Montefalcone, Stable H-minimal Hypersurfaces, Journal of Geometric Analysis. (2012) 1-51.
[11] R. Montgomery, A tour of sub-Riemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications, American Mathematical Soc. 91 (2006).
[12] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu, Sub-Riemannian calculus on hypersurfaces in Carnot groups, Adv. Math. 215 (2007) 292-378.
[13] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vol 1. New York. (1963).
[14] P. Pansu, Géométrie du groupe d'Heisenberg, PhD thesis. (1982).
[15] R. Hladky, S. D. Pauls, Variation of perimeter measure in sub-Riemannian geometry, Int. Electron. J. Geom. 6 (2013) 8-40.
[16] D. Barilari, L. Rizzi, A formula for Popp's volume in sub-Riemannian geometry. Analysis and Geometry in Metric Spaces. 1 (2013) 42-57.
[17] A. Hurtado, M. Ritoré, C. Rosales, The classification of complete stable area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$, Advances in Mathematics. 224 (2010) 561–600.
[18] M. Ritoré, C. Rosales, Area-stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$, Advances in Mathematics. 219 (2008). 633–671.
[19] M. Spivak, A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry, Vol. IV, second ed., Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del. (1979).
[20] R. S. Strichartz, Corrections to: "Sub-Riemannian geometry", J. Differential Geom. 30 (1989) 595-596.
[21] R. S. Strichartz, Sub-Riemannian geometry, J. Differential Geom. 24 (1986) 221–263.
[22] M. M. Diniz, J. M. Veloso, Regions where the exponential map at regular points of sub-Riemannian manifolds is a local diffeomorphism, J. Dyn. Control Syst. 15 (2009) 133-156.
[23] V. Magnani, D. Vittone, An intrinsic measure for submanifolds in stratified groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 619 (2008) 203-232.

[1] Faculdade de Matemática-UFPA,, 66075-110-Belém-PA, BR
E-mail address: mdiniz@ufpa.br

[2]Departamento de Matemática-UFAM,, 69077-070-Manaus-AM-BR
E-mail address: mrosilenesantos@gmail.com

[3] Faculdade de Matemática-UFPA,, 66075-110-Belém-PA, BR
E-mail address: veloso@ufpa.br